

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,929	11/24/2003	Christopher M. Anderson	091-0205	8359
27431 SHIMOK A JI &	7590 06/11/2007 & ASSOCIATES, P.C.	EXAMINER		
8911 RESEARCH DRIVE			BARTON, JEFFREY THOMAS	
IRVINE, CA 92618			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		• •	1753	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/721,929	ANDERSON, CH	ANDERSON, CHRISTOPHER M.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jeffrey T. Barton	1753				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a Id will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI Lete, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	November 2003					
2a)□		nis action is non-final.					
3)	<u>-</u>						
.—	closed in accordance with the practice under	•	•				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application	on.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•					
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ad		by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•		CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		received in this Nationa	il Stage			
* 9	application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ` ' '	traceived				
`			received.				
Attachmen	••	_					
	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of	Informal Patent Application				
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	·				

Application/Control Number: 10/721,929

Art Unit: 1753

Election/Restrictions

Page 2

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-26, drawn to solar electrolysis power co-generation systems, classified in class 136, subclass 244.
- Claims 27-33, drawn to methods for decentralized power co-generation,
 classified in class 136, subclass 244.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to practice a materially different process, such as continuous supplementation of electricity from the grid, involving no shutdown step.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to David Bowls on 4 June 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 1753

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Jeffrey T. Barton whose telephone number is (571) 272-1307. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,929

Art Unit: 1753

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JTB

5 June 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700